UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/575,770	04/12/2006	Steven Jan Willem Van Lerberghe	NL03 1210 US1	3542	
24738 7590 02/12/2007 PHILIPS ELECTRONICS NORTH AMERICA CORPORATION  EXAMINER					
	AL PROPERTY & ST.	HO, HOANG QUAN TRAN			
SAN JOSE, CA	DRIVE, M/S-41SJ . 95131		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
,			2818		
SHORTENED STATUTORY	Y PERIOD OF RESPONSE	MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE		
31 D	AYS	02/12/2007	PAPER		

## Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire 6 MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.

		P		43)
		Application No.	Applicant(s)	
Office Action Summary		10/575,770	VAN LERBERGHE ET	AL.
		Examiner	Art Unit	
		Hoang-Quan Ho	2818	
Period for	The MAILING DATE of this communication app Reply	ears on the cover sheet wi	th the correspondence address	S
	RTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY	/ IS SET TO EXPIRE 1 M	ONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DA	AYS
WHICH - Extens after SI - If NO p - Failure Any rep	IEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE on ons of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 X (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. Beriod for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period we to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, by received by the Office later than three months after the mailing patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNIC 36(a). In no event, however, may a re vill apply and will expire SIX (6) MON', cause the application to become AB	CATION.  apply be timely filed  THS from the mailing date of this commun  ANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	
Status				
1)⊠ F	Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>12 A</u>	oril 2006.		
·	•	action is non-final.		
3)□ 5	Since this application is in condition for allowar	nce except for formal matte	ers, prosecution as to the mer	rits is
C	losed in accordance with the practice under E	x parte Quayle, 1935 C.D	. 11, 453 O.G. 213.	
Dispositio	n of Claims		,	
4) <b>×</b> (	claim(s) <u>1-19</u> is/are pending in the application.			
	a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw			
5) 🗌 C	claim(s) is/are allowed.			
6)□ (	claim(s) is/are rejected.			
7) 🗌 (	Claim(s) is/are objected to.			
8)⊠ (	Claim(s) <u>1-19</u> are subject to restriction and/or e	election requirement.		
Applicatio	n Papers			
9) <u></u> ⊤	he specification is objected to by the Examine	r.		
10)∐ T	he drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ acc	epted or b)⊡ objected to l	by the Examiner.	
A	pplicant may not request that any objection to the	drawing(s) be held in abeyan	ce. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).	
	teplacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct he oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex			
Priority un	der 35 U.S.C. § 119			
12) <u></u> A a) ☐	cknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign All b)☐ Some * c)☐ None of:	priority under 35 U.S.C. §	119(a)-(d) or (f).	
,	. Certified copies of the priority documents	s have been received.		
2	Certified copies of the priority document		pplication No	
3	☐ Copies of the certified copies of the prior	rity documents have been	received in this National Stag	je
	application from the International Bureau	u (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).		
* Se	e the attached detailed Office action for a list	of the certified copies not	received.	
Attachmant	<b>.</b>			·
Attachment(s	of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview S	summary (PTO-413)	
2) D Notice	of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	Paper No(s	s)/Mail Date	
	ation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) No(s)/Mail Date	5) Notice of Ir 6) Other:	nformal Patent Application	

## **DETAILED ACTION**

## Election/Restrictions

This application contains claims directed to the following patentably distinct species:

Species I: Fig. 1;

Species II: Fig. 2;

Species III: Fig. 3;

Species IV: Fig. 4.

Applicant is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 to elect a single disclosed species for prosecution on the merits to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable. Currently, no claims are believed to be generic.

Applicant is advised that a reply to this requirement must include an identification of the species that is elected consonant with this requirement, and a listing of all claims readable thereon, including any claims subsequently added. An argument that a claim is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered nonresponsive unless accompanied by an election.

Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which depend from or otherwise require all the limitations of an allowable generic claim as provided by 37 CFR 1.141. If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which are readable upon the elected species.

MPEP § 809.02(a).

Application/Control Number: 10/575,770

Art Unit: 2818

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include (i) an election of a species or invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143) and (ii) identification of the claims encompassing the elected invention.

The election of an invention or species may be made with or without traverse. To reserve a right to petition, the election must be made with traverse. If the reply does not distinctly and specifically point out supposed errors in the restriction requirement, the election shall be treated as an election without traverse.

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the inventions or species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the inventions or species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C.103(a) of the other invention.

Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a request under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).

Application/Control Number: 10/575,770

Art Unit: 2818

## Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Hoang-Quan Ho whose telephone number is (571) 272-8711. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday - Friday, 9 AM - 5 PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Matthew Smith can be reached on (571) 272-1907. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

HQH January 31, 2007 Bridy Hrughl Bri many Bramis